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  - Understanding the issues
  - Implementing babyClear

- **The academic evaluation:**
  - Gathering the data
  - Quantitative findings
  - Qualitative findings
  - Summary
Understanding the issue (2010)

- North East population of 2.1 million adults, covering 12 Local Authorities, 8 Acute Trusts and 6 SSS
- SATOD rates falling, but NE remains an outlier at 22.2% (6,500 smokers at delivery)
- NICE PH26 acts as a catalyst for regional discussions with strategic partners
- Newcastle University conducted insight work with 589 midwives on the barriers they face in discussing smoking during pregnancy
babyClear: A regional approach

Based on insight work, Fresh commissioned the TCCC to embed babyClear across the region:

- Training community midwives in a systematic approach to CO monitoring and opt-out referral process at first booking appointment
- Standardising referral pathways into SSS
- Training a cohort of midwives to deliver a “Risk Perception” intervention at dating scan
- Skills training for SSS staff
- Resources to support all of the above
Pre-Implementation

- Local meetings with a range of key partners:
  - Heads of Midwifery/Midwifery Supervisors
  - SSS commissioners and providers
  - Clinical Innovations Team for Maternity

- We committed to fund a phased roll-out of babyClear training & materials in year one. Then over to localities to sustain

- FT Chief Executives contacted, to seek their explicit, strategic support
Booking appointment intervention

**Aim:** To enable staff to systematically identify smokers at first booking appointment by means of a carbon monoxide reading. To raise concern levels and automatically refer all smokers into Stop Smoking Services (Opt-out approach).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of maternity staff trained in Year One</th>
<th>399</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of CO monitors issued in Year One</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Risk Perception Intervention

**Aim:** To enable a small cohort of midwives to engage with smokers (at 12-week dating scan) and fully explain the risks of continued smoking in pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of midwives trained in Year One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Durham &amp; Darlington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunderland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateshead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tyneside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northumbria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Tees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Tees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stop Smoking Services

- As part of NE roll-out, we wanted to ensure that NE SSS pregnancy services are delivering highest quality support:
  - One day refresher training to existing advisors
  - Two-day full training to any new pregnancy advisors
  - One-day training for SSS admin teams on converting “leads” into appointments attended

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stop Smoking Advisors</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Living Pharmacists</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS Administrative staff</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Evaluation findings
Effectiveness of babyClear approach

• Impact on monthly referrals to smoking cessation services

• Impact on probability of quitting before delivery
  • before and after introduction of intervention
  • phased implementation: date of introduction defined separately for each Trust
  • risk perception element not included

• Impact of quitting on birthweight
The cohort

37,726 singleton deliveries

72% non-smokers
18% smokers
10% quitters
Results: referral rate

• Referral rates increased progressively in the first three months after the core intervention was introduced

• Referral rates were 2.5 times higher in month four vs. baseline

• Months with ‘mop up’ training were associated with increased referrals
Results: referral rate

First, second and third months of intervention

School for Public Health Research
Results: probability of quitting

• Quit rates nearly twofold higher after introduction compared with before (aOR 1.8; CI 1.5-2.1)

• Quit rates were higher in pregnancies with a recorded referral to smoking cessation services (aOR 3.2) or with a record of setting a quit date (aOR 4.2)

• Quit rates were higher in non-white women, older women and women living in less deprived areas
Results: illustration of impact

In the sample cohort, 28% of women were smoking at time of booking. In a “typical” FT with 3,000 deliveries a year, this would equate to an estimated 840 women smoking at time of booking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% who quit</th>
<th>Number per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quitters before babyClear</td>
<td>14% (4.0% of all deliveries)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quitters after babyClear</td>
<td>26% (7.2% of all deliveries)</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional quitters</td>
<td>11% (3.2% of all deliveries)</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Smoking and birthweight

Birthweight (g) vs Gestational age (weeks)

Non-smokers
Smoking and birthweight

Smokers’ (non-quitters) babies 8% lighter (260g at term)
Smoking and birthweight

Quitters’ babies 6.5% heavier than non-quitters’ (210g at term)
Process evaluation....

Recognition that change requires:

- **Individual behaviour change** on the part of the smoker (which is usually the focus of public health efforts)
- **System change** on the part of services working with pregnant women

The qualitative evaluation looked at both these issues.....
Who we talked to

Pregnant smokers:
17 women undergoing babyClear© treatment route interviewed; 11 twice (28 interviews)

Health service and stop smoking service staff:
SSS staff (n=32); including 9 managers
Midwives (n=42)
Care assistants (n=13)
Senior trust maternity managers (n=8)
Pharmacy staff (n=3)
Trainers (n=3)
Fresh NE manager (n=1)
What helped women change?

Acceptability and perceptions of the reconfigured service among pregnant women who are offered services on the pathway
Routine CO screening

- Initial results “scary”. Women unprepared to be checked; however they soon came to expect this intervention at all visits
- Variation in frequency of monitoring
- Women overwhelmingly supported CO screening. Method of personal goal-setting. Individual scores remembered and gave them something to aim for
Opt-out referral to SSS

- “Opened door to SSS” - no evidence of damage to relationship with midwives
- Most women positive about setting up first appointments with SSS
- NRT availability at short notice was valued. Panic at thought of running out of NRT, especially during early stages of quit
- Many women felt need for extra contact between weekly appointments. Personal contact available in some areas and valued
Other key findings...

• In some localities women did not think a feedback loop existed between SSS and midwifery, and used this to “play the system”
• But in other cases, the lack of a joined-up approach was frustrating, if women had to repeatedly explain smoking status
• The detail about how smoking affects body and baby was what struck women most. Seen as new news, and really challenged them to change their behaviour
• Consistency of message still varied across settings and HCPs, but significantly improved
• Women expected to be asked about their smoking at each encounter
Risk perception intervention

• Seen as an acceptable and necessary, if unpleasant, motivator
• Many welcomed it - final push to underlying thoughts of quitting
• Broke down barriers women had erected for themselves, which allowed them to continue to smoke
• Critical that hard-hitting facts are delivered by a trusted professional (e.g. midwife) in an environment of caring and concern
• When delivered without sufficient focus (i.e. doll dropped, midwife left room mid-session), acceptability reduced
Organisational culture - integral to success

- **Leaders**, including senior and middle managers, are motivational and enabling where treatment pathway is concerned
- Pathway **championed on ground** by opinion-leader or problem solver who is passionate about project and drives implementation forward
- Positive **attitude/readiness to embrace change within organisation**

Oh, and **stable organisational structures** also help…
Summary

• Implementation of a system wide intervention to identify and routinely refer pregnant smokers into SSS nearly doubled probability of quitting by delivery

• Babies born to women who quit were heavier (210g at term) than smokers’ babies

• The intervention was acceptable to both pregnant women and staff

• NE SATOD rates are falling faster than England (down 4.7% since 2011/12)

• Latest figure for 2016-17 is 16%